SINGLE BENCH

(2) (5)

c. 8.1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3671 /2006

PETITIONER

M.R. Sahu, Aged about 34 years, S/o- Maya Ram Sahu , Forest Range Officer, Sakara, Forest Range Dhamtari, Permanent address Village - Parsada, Tehsil – Rajim, District Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3615/06
Presented by Shri A.K. Dubey
dated 14.12.06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department of Forest, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG/1999 13/12/06 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)

3. The Conservator of Forest, Jail Road, Raipur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 14/12/06 A.G. BILASPUR CLERK TO

4. The Divisional Forest Officer, Dhamtari, near Sihawa Road, Dhamtari (C.G.)

5. V.K. Lakda S/o- not known, Aged about years, R/o- Forest Range Kondagaon, Forest Range South Kondagaon Distt.- Bastar (C.G.)

(3) (6)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(40)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक WO 3671/06 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24.7.2006

Mr. Anand Kumar Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A. for the State on advance copy.

Heard.

By this petition, the petitioner, who is working as Forest Range Officer, Sakara, Forest Range Dhamtar, has challenged his transfer order whereby he has been transferred to Kondagaon, Forest Range South Kondagaon, District Bastar.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner has been subjected to frequent transfers and the impugned transfer has been made against the transfer policy.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within ten days from today along with copy of this petition and in turn, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 2853/06 also stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Roshan/-

P.T.O.

25-7-06 31.8.06